

PRESENTERS



Michael Hodge, Sangro Chambers, Auckland

Michael has extensive experience in judicial review proceedings, professional standards and disciplinary litigation, regulatory practice and compliance, inquiries and general civil litigation. He regularly appears in public law cases, including proceedings under the Climate Change Response Act and other public interest legislation, and has been appointed to act as amicus curiae in litigation in the Court of Appeal and the High Court, as counsel assisting the Coroner in coronial inquiries, and assisting the IPCA Inquiry into Police investigations of child abuse.



Sarah Jerebine, Bankside Chambers, Auckland

Sarah is an experienced civil litigation lawyer with particular expertise in public law and commercial litigation. She frequently appears for the Crown responding to applications for judicial review in her role as barrister sole, and prior to that as Crown Counsel; this affords her the opportunity to act on often complex litigation of public interest. Sarah frequently appears in the High Court and Court of Appeal, and acts as counsel assisting on a number of matters often concerning judicial review of decisions of the courts.

The statements and conclusions contained in this presentation are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This presentation has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. UNDERSTANDING PUBLIC DECISIONMAKING.....	3
TIPS	4
3. CONSIDERING WHETHER TO BRING AN APPLICATION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW – FACTORS TO KEEP IN MIND	7
SUITABILITY FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW – JURISDICTION/JUSTICIABILITY	7
<i>Tips</i>	8
ALTERNATIVES TO JUDICIAL REVIEW	8
<i>Tip</i>	8
WHEN, IN THE DECISIONMAKING PROCESS, IS THE BEST TIME TO APPLY FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW?	8
<i>Tip</i>	9
STRENGTH OF GROUNDS	9
<i>Ultra vires</i>	9
<i>Tips</i>	10
<i>Natural justice: right to be heard, right to a fair hearing</i>	10
<i>Tips</i>	10
<i>Legitimate expectation as to process</i>	11
<i>Tips</i>	11
<i>Failure to consider relevant material, giving weight to irrelevant material</i>	11
<i>Tips</i>	12
<i>Grounds that can, in some circumstances, be more difficult to argue</i>	12
4. INTERIM RELIEF	13
TIPS	14
5. TIKANGA MĀORI AND PUBLIC LAW DECISIONMAKING.....	15
STATUTORY REFERENCES	15
APPLICATION OF TIKANGA IS NOT CONFINED TO EXPRESS STATUTORY REFERENCES	16
HOW DO THE COURTS APPROACH TIKANGA?	17
<i>Tips</i>	17
6. CASE STUDY: UNREASONABLENESS – IN CLIMATE CHANGE AND ELSEWHERE... 19	
TIPS	22
7. CASE STUDY: COMPLAINTS AND DISCIPLINE – PROFESSIONAL CLIENTS	23
TIPS	24
TIPS	25
8. POWERPOINTS.....	27